RTI APPEAL DETAILS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)

Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	IFCIL/A/E/24/00012	**RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :**	22/03/2024
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):	IFCIL/R/E/24/00011	**RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :**	07/02/2024
Name (नाम) :	[redacted]	**Gender (लिंग) :**	Male
Address (पता) :	[redacted]		
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	[redacted]		
State (राज्य) :	Andhra Pradesh	**Country (देश) :**	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	**Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :**	+91-[redacted]
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	[redacted]		
Status (स्थिति) :	Details not provided	**Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :**	Details not provided.
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian	**Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :**	No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	38570	**Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :**	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided		
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	The appeal is submitted in response to the reply of CPIO on 21/03/2024 which is attached herewith 1. The CPIO has once again misread ,misapplied and gave a misleading and legally untenable reply to my RTI Application.		

2. A perusal of the information sought at items 1 to 4 of my request would clearly show that the exemption relied upon by the CPIO is totally erroneous and unsustainable as per the provisions of the RTI Act.

3. Item No 3 is pertaining to the undersigned . The CPIO has hidden himself under this exception by not even stating as to whether any such noting has been prepared or not and the information exists or not.

4. In so far as Item 4 and 5 are concerned , if the CPIO is of the view that if it involves the privacy of third persons, he ought to have followed the procedure laid down for providing third party information under the RTI Act. Further the CPIO has failed to distinguish between personal information and information subsisting on the records of the Public authority by virtue of the official acts of the employees and ex employees of the Public Authority. This ignorance of the CPIO is reflecting in the disposal of the RTI applications in a mechanical manner.

5. Further in item No 5 it has been specifically mentioned that the information of others be redacted. The CPIO has once again failed to appreciate and understand the import of the request

6.Finally in regard to item 1 , the CPIO 's reply shows that the CPIO has acted as a post man by stating that CBI has advised that the letter may be treated as confidential. The CPIO has once again failed to appreciate and understand that once an information comes in the possession of the Public Authority, the disclosure or otherwise is to be arrived at by the Public Authority itself keeping the Provisions of the RTI Act in view.

In view of the above , the FAA may like to examine the aspects submitted and dispose of the Appeal by adhering strictly to the provisions of the RTI Act and directing the CPIO to provide the information requested.